



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,649	07/07/2003	Joanne R. Bonnell	2002P20262 US01	7643

7590

03/29/2006

Alexander J. Burke
Intellectual Property Department
5th Floor
170 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, NJ 08830

EXAMINER

KRAMER, JAMES A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3627

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,649	BONNELL, JOANNE R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James A. Kramer	3627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Declaration

The declaration filed on 7/25/05 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Millary et al reference.

1. Reduction to Practice

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the Millary reference. Applicant states in the 4th point of Exhibit A, "I conceived the invention disclosed in the subject application in the United States and **reduced the invention to practice** on or before November 22, 2002 as evidenced by Exhibit A." Examiner respectfully notes that there is insufficient evidence in either Exhibit A or B to support an **actual** reduced to practice of the claimed invention. (Emphasis added to distinguish an actual reduction to practice from a constructive reduction to practice. An explanation of the relevance of this distinction follows.)

Examiner reminds Applicant that there are three ways to show prior invention (see MPEP 715.07(III)).

(A) (actual) reduction to practice of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference; or

(B) conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference coupled with due diligence from prior to the reference date to a subsequent (actual) reduction to practice; or

Art Unit: 3627

(C) conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference coupled with due diligence from prior to the reference date to the filing date of the application (constructive reduction to practice).

Based on these requirements, Applicant's statements in point 4 of Exhibit A must be a declaration of an **actual** reduction to practice prior to November 22, 2002.

Examiner further reminds Applicant that in order to establish an **actual** reduction to practice a two-prong test must be satisfied (see MPEP 2138.05). Specifically, (1) the party must have constructed an embodiment or performed a process that met every element and (2) the embodiment or process must have been operated for its intended purpose.

In the present case there is no evidence of an **actual** reduction to practice of the claimed automatic cash accounting system or the method of automatic cash accounting for use in a hospital. Rather, the Conclusions and Suggestions section of Exhibit B (see Exhibit B, page 5) indicates that as of November 18, 2002 the invention had not been reduced to practice but was rather still being "considered for future development within the financial business segment" of Siemens.

Examiner notes that the evidence provided in Exhibits A and B is not persuasive and therefore Applicant has not properly establish an **actual** reduction to practice prior to the effective date of the Millary reference. To correct this matter Applicant must either (1) provide persuasive evidence of the actual reduction to practice or (2) rely upon the filing of the provisional application as a **constructive** reduction to practice. If Applicant elects option (2) above, Applicant must also submit evidence supporting diligence from prior to the reference's

Art Unit: 3627

effective date to the filing date of the application (see MPEP 715.07(a) and 2138.06 on Diligence).

2. Diligence

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the effective filing date of the Millary reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice.

As noted above Applicant has not provided sufficient evidence to support the declaration of an actual reduction of practice prior to the effective filing date of the Millary reference. As a result, Applicant's invention is considered reduced to practice upon the filing of the patent application (constructive reduction to practice). As Applicant's filing date is after the effective filing date of the Millary reference Applicant must show diligence from a date prior to the effective date of Millary reference to the filing date of Applicant's patent application. Applicant's Declaration filed 7/25/05 lacks a statement on diligence (see MPEP 715.07(a) and 2138.06 on Diligence) and is therefore deemed insufficient.

3. Conception

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Millary reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite

Art Unit: 3627

means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See *Mergenthaler v. Scudder*, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897).

(a) Applicant's conversation with Morgan Pape on or around February 1998

Per MPEP 715.07, "a declaration by the inventor to the effect that his or her invention was conceived or reduced to practice prior to the reference date, without a statement of facts demonstrating the correctness of this conclusion, is insufficient to satisfy 37 CFR 1.131." The MPEP further includes the following statement from Ex parte Donovan, "If neither sketches nor models are relied upon, but it is claimed that verbal disclosures, sufficiently clear to indicate definite conception of the invention, were made the witness should state as nearly as possible the language used in imparting knowledge of the invention to others." Examiner notes that without a statement of facts (as described above) Applicant's declaration in point 6 of Exhibit A is found to be insufficient evidence to support conception on or around February 1998.

(b) Applicant's Invention Disclosure entitled "Healthcare Cash Management Accounting Process" attached as part of Exhibit A which includes the details of the claimed invention

Examiner notes that the declaration does not specifically indicate a date for the document included as Exhibit B (i.e. Healthcare Cash Management Accounting Process). However, it appears to be the documented referenced by the Applicant on Question 6 of the Invention Disclosure Memorandum submitted as Exhibit A (i.e. "The description of this idea is contained in a word document and a printed copy of this document is attached"). As such, Examiner notes that this document serves as Applicant's only evidence of conception prior to the effective

Art Unit: 3627

filing date of the Millary reference. Specifically, Examiner interprets this document to be dated November 18, 2002; whereas the effective filing date of the Millary reference is November 22, 2002.

Assuming that the proper date for the document submitted in Exhibit B is November 18, 2002, to serve as evidence of conception it must establish possession of either the whole invention claimed or something falling within the claims (such as a species of a claimed genus), in the sense that the claim as a whole reads on it (see MPEP 715.02). In other words, the document must disclose enough to show possession of at least an obvious derivative of the claimed invention. Examiner takes the position that the document submitted as Exhibit B does not meet this criteria.

For example, in point 7 of Exhibit A Applicant states that,

“The system having a message processor for receiving message data including a first income amount total value representing cash income received during a first time period and an associated first income source identifier identifying one of a plurality of departments internal to hospital providing the associated first income amount total is derived from lines 22-24, 27-28 and 33-36 on page 1 of Exhibit B.”

Lines 22-24, 27-28 and 33-36 on page 1 of Exhibit B states,

“For example, let’s compare the daily transactions that occur in a retail business chain like a Macy’s or K-mart to the daily transactions that occur in a healthcare facility” (lines 22-24); “For a healthcare facility, these department keys on the cash register can be programmed to display hospital income categories” (lines 27-28); and “reconciliation between the cash register reports, receipts produced from the register and the actual currency would have to balance. Both type of entities must assume that their daily cash transactions are balanced and the money is prepared for deposit into their financial bank account.” (lines 33-36).

Examiner notes that the passages indicated by the Applicant fail to teach or suggest a message processor as claimed. Furthermore, Applicant teaches programming the department

Art Unit: 3627

keys to be income categories. This “programming” teaches away from the ability to identify applicant’s claimed “income source identifier identifying one of a plurality of departments” as the register is programmed to remove the department keys and include income categories received from various insurance payers, for example Medicare, Blue Cross, HMO’s, third party payers, bad debt agency payments, patient payments, etc., pretty much any type of income the facility would want to track.

Assuming that Applicant’s cited passage does teach a message processor, how would this message processor receive first income source identifier identifying one of a plurality of departments if the department keys on the register have been programmed to display hospital income categories?

Examiner takes the position that the Applicant’s cited portion teaches reconciling cash transactions and not a message processor receiving a message data including a first income amount total value and an income source identifier.

Applicant further asserts that the claimed data processor for automatically processing message data is derived from diagram 3 of Exhibit B beginning in the box having “Settlement Process Begins”. Examiner notes that in diagram 3 the “Settlement Process Begins” box only receives receipts and not message data as claimed. Additionally, Applicant teaches that receipts are produced “as each transaction is rung into the register” (see Exhibit B, page 1, lines 31-32). In contrast to this message data, as claimed by Applicant includes an income amount total, representing cash income received during a first time period. Clearly, message data is not the

Art Unit: 3627

receipt data. Therefore, the “Settlement Begins Box” of diagram 3 cannot teach or suggest Applicant’s claimed data processor.

Examiner further notes that Applicant’s claims recite, “automatically processing, via a data processor, said received message data.” This is in contrast to what is taught in Exhibit B. In particular page 2, lines 15-16 states, “Furthermore, create the ability to, when initiated, compile these general ledger account numbers into a file.” The is not an automatic process as it needs to be initiated by the user.

Based on the arguments above Examiner finds the declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 to be ineffective to overcome the Millary reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites “message data” on line 3. The claim goes on to include a second message data on line 8. Then on lines 11-12 the claim recites processing said received message date. It is unclear from the claim whether it is the message data, second message data or both the message data and the second message data that are automatically processed.

Examiner recommends amending the claim so that the message data on line 3 is “first message data.” This will clearly distinguish it from the second message data. Further the limitation on lines 11-12 needs to be amended to clarify what message data is being automatically processed.

In addition, claim 1 recites on line 18, “representing combined cash income total value for said departments.” Examiner notes that it is unclear whether each department has its own total value or whether the total value is one number which represents a summation of all departments’ values.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Millary et al. in view of Barber et al.

Millary et al. teaches a point of service transaction management for service facilities including a message processor for receiving message data including a first income amount total value, representing cash income received during a first time period, and an associated first income source identifier identifying one of a plurality of departments internal to a hospital

Art Unit: 3627

providing said associated first income amount total value (see page 4, paragraph 0061 and page 8, paragraph 0097) (claims 1, 15 and 26).

Examiner notes that data importation of Millary et al. represents receiving data and the batch update represents the received message data for a first time period (e.g. once daily).

Additionally the accounting codes associated with payment and associated with location (i.e. department) of Millary et al. represent income source identifier (page 4, paragraph 0061)

Examiner asserts that as Millary et al. teaches the batch data includes transaction types, departments in which transaction occurred, payments, balance changes and the like, the system teaches receiving payment amounts (first income amount total value) with payment codes (income source identifiers) for a time period (once daily).

Millary et al. further teaches a data processor for automatically processing the received message data by

- identifying an income account associated with said one of said departments based on said first income source identifier, and for updating said identified income account with said first income amount value to indicate said first income amount value received by said identified income account during said first time period;
- collating and combining data representing total values from a plurality of income accounts to provide a first income amount cumulative total value representing combined cash income total value for said departments during said first time period and

(see page 2, paragraph 0046; page 4, paragraph 61) (claims 1 and 15).

Examiner notes that Millary et al. teaches the inclusion of payment codes allows for payments from multiple categories of an accounting ledger (page 4; paragraph 0061 lines 1-3).

Examiner notes that categories of an accounting ledger represents an income account and the

Art Unit: 3627

accounting code represents the first income source identifier, which is associated with the payment amount (page 4; paragraph 0061; lines 9-10).

Examiner also notes that the interaction of the user terminals with the database capable of storing, accumulating and structuring information related to the services represents collating and combining the data (page 2; paragraph 0046, lines 7-10)

Millary et al further teaches creating a financial statement record using said combined cash income total value for said departments during first time period (claims 1, 15 and 26) (see page 5, paragraph 0074 through page 6, paragraph 0077 and Figures 9-14 and 34-35).

Examiner further notes that the generation of administrative reports taught by Millary et al. represents Applicant's creating financial statement records. In particular, Millary et al.'s teaching of "reporting at each level in any system" (page 5, paragraph 0074, lines 19-20 and Figure 34) represents a first income amount cumulative total value representing combined cash income total value for departments. Examiner notes that Figure 13 specifically illustrates a cumulative total value at the provider site level. As previously stated, since Millary et al. teaches similar reports at each level in the system and as department is one of the levels (Figure 34 and page 5, paragraph 0074, lines 10-12), Millary et al. anticipates a report similar to the one illustrated in Figure 13 at the department level.

Millary et al. teaches that the received message data is derived from a processing device associating a user selected income source identifier with said first income amount total value and

Art Unit: 3627

wherein said processing device provides cash register functions (claim 3) (pages 3-4, paragraph 0060).

Millary et al. teaches received message data identifies a plurality of individual cash transactions performed during first time period and associated with a hospital department, and said first income amount total value comprises a total transaction income amount value for first time period (claim 5) (see page 3, paragraph 0059, lines 7-1; page 4; paragraph 0061, lines 3-7 and page 8; paragraph 0097, lines 4-5). Examiner notes that the POS system of Millary et al. accepts cash payments associated with a hospital department. Again Examiner points out that Millary et al. teaches processing over a time interval (first time period) such as once daily.

Millary et al. teaches a transaction income amount value comprises a disbursement comprising a negative income amount value (claim 6) (page 2, paragraph 0047). Examiner notes that a credit to a user is a disbursement of funds and represents a negative income amount value.

Millary et al. teaches the received message data comprises a file (page 8, paragraph 0097, lines 3-5) and an individual cash transaction comprises and electronic funds transfer (page 3, paragraph 0059, lines 7-10) (claim 7).

Millary et al. teaches received message data identifies a plurality of individual cash transactions performed during said first time period and associated with a hospital department and said first income amount total value comprises a transaction income amount value (see page

Art Unit: 3627

3, paragraph 0059, lines 7-10; and page 8, paragraph 0097) and said data processor accumulates a plurality of individual cash transaction income amount values for transactions performed during said first time period for said hospital department to provide a cumulative total value for said first time period (see page 2, paragraph 0046; page 5, paragraph 0074 through page 6, paragraph 0077 and Figures 9-14 and 34-35) (claims 9 and 15).

Examiner notes that the transactions of Millary et al. represent cash transactions and as such the income amount value comprises transaction income amount value (page 3, paragraph 0059, lines 7-10). Additionally, as previously stated, page 8, paragraph 0097 teaches Applicant's message data which identifies the payment transactions over a time period (e.g. once daily).

Examiner notes that page 2, paragraph 0046 of Millary et al. teaches the system capable of storing, accumulating and structuring information related to fees accrued as a result of services rendered. This teaching, coupled with the teaching of the fees accrued being cash transactions, represents Applicant's "data processor accumulates a plurality of individual cash transaction income amount values for transactions performed during said first time period for said hospital department to provide a cumulative total value for said first time period."

Millary et al. teaches source identifier incorporates an account number identifying income account associated with said one of said departments (claim 10) (page 4, paragraph 61.

As previously explained, the accounting codes of Millary et al. represents Applicant's income source identifier. As such they clearly include an account number.

Millary et al. teaches data processor updates an account for the overall hospital in response to said first income amount total value representing combined cash income for said departments for said first time period (claim 11) (see page 5, paragraph 0074 through page 6, paragraph 0077 and Figures 9-14 and 34-35).

Examiner makes special note of page 5, paragraph 0076, lines 8-10 and Figure 13 which specifically states, "reports may be available by provider site, for overall terminals present at each site." The provider site is a hospital and these reports represent updates to an account for the overall hospital.

Millary et al. teaches data processor updates an account for a plurality of hospitals including said hospital in response to said first income amount total value representing combined cash income for said departments for said first time period (claim 12) (see page 6, paragraph 0077).

Examiner notes that the use of the system and method of Millary et al. across locations (emphasis on the plural) to allow for the generation of reports, represents that the data processor is capable of updating an account for a plurality of hospitals (or locations).

Millary et al. teaches financial statement record identifies at least one of, (1) a total income received by individual departments of said plurality of departments during said first time period, (b) total income received by individual departments of said plurality of departments during said first time period deposited in a bank and (c) individual cash transactions performed during said first time period by individual hospital department (claim 13) (see page 5, paragraph

Art Unit: 3627

0074 through page 6, paragraph 0077 and Figures 9-14 and 34-35). Examiner once again notes that the system and method of Millary et al. allows for reporting at each level in any system, as a result Millary at the very least teaches reporting on a total income received by individual departments for a time period (i.e. end of shift reports).

Millary et al. teaches the first time period comprises at least one of (i) a day, (ii) a week, (iii) a month and (iv) a year. Examiner once again points out that Millary et al. teaches end of shift batch reporting (page 5, paragraph 0075, line 4) as well as once daily reporting (page 8, paragraph 0097, line 5) both of these represent, at the very least, Applicant's first time period comprising at least a day.

Millary et al. further teaches said departments internal to said hospital including at least two of (a) a cafeteria, (b) a garage, (c) a shop, (d) a pharmacy, (e) a café or restaurant, (f) cashier's office, (g) a clinical department, (h) an outpatient department, (i) an inpatient department, (j) an imaging department and (k) a laboratory (claim 14) (see page 6, paragraph 0082, lines 6-9).

Millary et al. teaches:

- message data also indicating a plurality of individual cash transactions performed during said first time period and associated with multiple patients and
- an individual message data item includes transaction income amount value and an associated transaction income source identifier, identifying one of a plurality of patients providing said associated transaction income amount value;
- a data processor for identifying an income account associated with one of said patients based on transaction income source identifier, and for updating said

Art Unit: 3627

identified income account to indicate said transaction income amount value received by said identified income account during said time period.

(see page 4, paragraph 0069 and page 8, paragraph 0097).

Examiner notes that Applicant's transaction income source identifier identifying a patient is a patient ID number and as Millary et al. teaches the ability to update a unique record for "client A" the data must include a identifier to client A (i.e. a transaction income source identifier).

Further the updating of the unique record for client A represents "identifying an income account associated with one of said patients based on transaction income source identifier, and for updating said identified income account to indicate said transaction income amount value received by said identified income account."

Millary et al. teaches that the received message data comprises a file (page 8, paragraph 0097, lines 3-5).

Millary et al. as described in detail above does not teach receiving message data including a second income amount total value representing income received during a first time period and an associated second source identifier, said second source identifier identifying one of a plurality of organizations external to said hospital providing said associated second income total value (claim 17), wherein said second received message data identifies medical insurance reimbursement income amounts received for services rendered to patients during first time

Art Unit: 3627

period (claim 2) and an associated patient identifier (claims 4, 8, 16, 22 and 28) wherein the external organization include at least two of (a) HMO, (b) insurance company, (c) patient, (d) a debt collection agency and (e) another health care provider enterprise (claim 20).

Barber et al. teaches a medical payment system in which a central processing system associated with a medical facility is in communication with insurance carriers, banks and e-mail (Figure 1) in order to receive compensation via electronic funds transfer for services provided to patients (column 3, line 60 through column 4, line 10). Examiner notes that receiving compensation for medical services provided represents receiving message data including a second income amount value representing medical insurance reimbursement income amounts and an associated patient identifier.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the receiver location/central processing system of Millary et al. to include a communication link with insurance carriers, banks and e-mail; and to receive compensation via electronic funds transfer as taught by Barber et al. Examiner notes that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the references as taught in order to provide funds directly from insurance carriers to a hospitals bank.

Examiner further notes with reference to claim 20, the external organization includes insurance companies and either patients or debt collectors. Examiner notes that financial institutions and email (as taught by Barber et al.) represents contact with patients as the system of Barber et al. utilizes these connections to collect money not reimbursed by the insurance company.

Millary et al. in view of Barber et al. teaches collating and combining second income amount total values from external organizations to provide a cumulative total value representing combined cash income from said organizations (claims 17 and 30).

Examiner once again notes that Millary et al. teaches databases capable of storing, accumulating and structuring information related to fees accrued for services (page 2, paragraph 0046). As the insurance reimbursement amounts of Barber et al. represent fees accrued for services, the databases of Millary et al. are capable of collating and combining that data. In other words, since it is obvious to incorporate the second data of Barber et al in to the system of Millary et al. it is also obvious to perform all the same sorting, combining, accumulating and reporting as previously taught by Millary et al. on the incorporated data.

Examiner notes that the same analysis applies for creating financial statement records using second income amount total values.

Millary et al. in view of Barber does not specifically teach combining the reimbursement income amounts with the internal cash income total values to provide a department total amount (claim 2), an updated patient income account (claims 4, 8, 16, 22, and 28) and combined cash income for said hospital (claims 19 and 29).

Examiner once again points out that Millary et al. teaches reports at any level within a hospital including department level reports and hospital level reports (page 5, paragraph 0074 through page 6, paragraph 0078). Further Millary et al. teaches patient balance reports (page 5, paragraph 0082). For further analysis as to these teachings see the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Art Unit: 3627

Again Examiner asserts that once the insurance reimbursement data of Barber et al. is imported to the system of Millary et al. it would then be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the insurance reimbursement data as part of the previously described reports of Millary et al. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to include the insurance reimbursement income amounts in the reports of Millary et al. in order to provide the most accurate information in the reports.

Millary et al. teaches the first time period comprises at least one of (i) a day, (ii) a week, (iii) a month and (iv) a year. Examiner once again points out that Millary et al. teaches end of shift batch reporting (page 5, paragraph 0075, line 4) as well as once daily reporting (page 8, paragraph 0097, line 5) both of these represent, at the very least, Applicant's first time period comprising at least a day.

Millary et al. further teaches said departments internal to said hospital including at least two of (a) a cafeteria, (b) a garage, (c) a shop, (d) a pharmacy, (e) a café or restaurant, (f) cashier's office, (g) a clinical department, (h) an outpatient department, (i) an inpatient department, (j) an imaging department and (k) a laboratory (claim 18) (see page 6, paragraph 0082, lines 6-9).

Millary et al. teaches the first income amount total value is received from at least one of (a) a cash register and (b) a processing device with cash register functions (abstract). Examiner notes that an integrated point-of-service transaction management system is a system with cash register functions.

Millary et al. teaches received message data identifies a plurality of individual cash transactions performed during first time period and associated with a hospital department, and said first income amount total value comprises a total transaction income amount value for first time period (claim 22) (see page 3, paragraph 0059, lines 7-1; page 4; paragraph 0061, lines 3-7 and page 8; paragraph 0097, lines 4-5). Examiner notes that the POS system of Millary et al. accepts cash payments associated with a hospital department. Again Examiner points out that Millary et al. teaches processing over a time interval (first time period) such as once daily.

Millary et al. teaches a transaction income amount value comprises a disbursement comprising a negative income amount value (claim 24) (page 2, paragraph 0047). Examiner notes that a credit to a user is a disbursement of funds and represents a negative income amount value.

Millary et al. teaches said received message data comprises a file (page 8 ; paragraph 0097) (claims 25 and 27).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


Art Unit: 3627

HealthPay24 at imagevision.net/HealthPay24.htm. This product is disclosed by Millary in provisional application 60/428,311 and 60/428,977. This reference serves as an indication that the product of the Millary invention was for sale on 8/03/2002.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272 6783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272 6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


James A. Kramer
Examiner
Art Unit 3627
3/24/06

jak